1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY						
2	DISTRICT OF NEW JERSET						
3							
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,						
5	ex rel, STEVEN SIMRING, PLAINTIFFS						
6	Vs. CIVIL NO.						
7	04-3530 (PGS) UNIVERSITY PHYSICIAN ASSOCIATES,						
8	et al, DEFENDANTS						
9							
10							
11	JANUARY 7, 2013 CLARKSON S. FISHER COURTHOUSE						
12	402 EAST STATE STREET TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08608						
13							
14							
15	B E F O R E: THE HONORABLE PETER G. SHERIDAN						
16	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY						
17							
18							
19							
20	HEARING ON MOTION FOR FEES						
21							
22							
23	Certified as true and correct as required						
24	by Title 28, U.S.C. Section 753 /S/ Francis J. Gable						
25	FRANCIS J. GABLE, C.S.R., R.M.R. OFFICIAL U.S. REPORTER (856) 889-4761						
	·						

```
1
 2
   APPEARANCES:
 3
 4
         STONE & MAGNANINI, LLP
         BY: ROBERT A. MAGNANINI, ESQUIRE
 5
              DAVID S. STONE, ESQUIRE
              DAVID S. CHASE, ESQUIRE
 6
         FOR THE PLAINTIFF
 7
         McELROY, DEUTSCH, MULVANEY & CARPENTER, LLP
 8
         BY: WALTER F. TIMPONE, ESQUIRE
              KRISTOFFER S. BURFITT, ESQUIRE
 9
         FOR THE DEFENDANT
10
         HARRY LITMAN, ESQUIRE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	1	THE COURT: So this is the matter of Simring versus					
	2	University Physician Associates. Can we have appearances?					
	3	We'll start with the plaintiffs.					
	4	MR. MAGNANINI: Yes, your Honor, Robert Magnanini,					
00:00	5	David Stone and David Chase from the firm of Stone and					
	6	Magnanini for plaintiff Dr. Simring. And with me I also have					
	7	Harry Litman who is Dr. Simring's attorney since 2005.					
	8	THE COURT: Good afternoon.					
	9	MR. LITMAN: Judge, I was just going to say that I'm					
00:00	10	actually here only for the purpose of the fees relating to					
	11	Stone and Magnanini, but I don't intend to present argument					
	12	unless your Honor wants it on that issue.					
	13	THE COURT: Okay, thank you.					
	14	MR. TIMPONE: Walter Timpone, your Honor, McElroy,					
00:00	15	Deutsch, Mulvaney and Carpenter, with Chris Burfitt on behalf					
	16	of UMDNJ.					
	17	THE COURT: Good afternoon.					
	18	I have the report and recommendation from Judge					
	19	Arleo, and there's been objections filed by Stone and					
00:00	20	Magnanini, so do you wish to argue?					
	21	MR. MAGNANINI: Yes, your Honor.					
	22	THE COURT: All right. It's your turn.					
	23	MR. MAGNANINI: Thank you, your Honor.					
	24	THE COURT: So I would think that if we could spend					
00:00	25	10 minutes each, and move on from there if that's okay.					

	1	MR. MAGNANINI: Good, your Honor, I'll just hit the							
	2	high points. Your Honor, I guess to just start off is you							
	3	have the basic question are why are we here, and this is							
	4 something more than lawyers fighting about their fees.								
5 Especially in the context of the False Claims Act, and									
	6	3730(d)(1) provides that a prevailing party get its fees. And							
	7	there's no dispute here that Dr. Simring was a prevailing							
	8	party. He bought a qui tam back in 2004 against UMDMJ. What							
	9	they were doing was they were double billing the government							
00:01	10	for the same services, and this fraud had been going on for at							
	11	least four years. Dr. Simring had gone to his supervisors,							
	12	tried to get this fraud stopped and their methodology changed							
	13	that failed. So in 2004 he retained Mr. Furst to file a qui							
	14	tam action which he did.							
00:01	15	The qui tam proceeded along until I guess July of							
	16	5 2005. At this point Dr. Simring brought in Mr. Litman and to							
	17	handle negotiations with the government, and a 11th Amendment							
	18	issue under the Stevens case. Which was whether UMDNJ, which							
	19	was a quasi state agency, could be sued as an arm of the							
00:02	20	state, or was it immune to suit.							
	21	Mr. Litman and Mr. Furst presented an amended							
	22	complaint and filed that. They worked with the Government and							
	23	the U.S. Attorney for the District of New Jersey, ended up							
	24	negotiating a deferred prosecution agreement with UMDNJ. That							
00:02	25	ended the criminal part of the investigation.							

	1	At that point the U.S. Attorney's Office for New
	2	Jersey was recused from the matter, and the entire case was
	3	handled by the main justice of the Department of Justice in
	4	Washington, DC. And Mr. Litman and Mr. Furst litigated this
00:02	5	case from 2005 then, all the way through the settlement in
	6	June of 2009.
	7	And what they had to do was fight a lot of advocacy
	8	on behalf of UMDNJ, that their amended complaint would survive
	9	any sort of 9(b) motion, that the Stevens case and the 11th
00:03	10	Amendment did not pose a threat to the case, and convince the
	11	government to both intervene and settle the case. That
	12	happened in
	13	THE COURT: I think you should focus on why don't
	14	you tell me why you think Judge Arleo was wrong in her
00:03	15	MR. MAGNANINI: That's what I'm getting to, your
	16	Honor. This is an odd case in that both the parties agree
	17	that we're entitled to fees. What Judge Arleo's R & R does
	18	that we think is wrong is that is that it does more than
	19	reduce the prevailing party's attorneys fees. What it does is
00:03	20	it undercuts the purposes of Congress's intent by the fee
	21	shifting statutes, by rewarding delay and deterring people
	22	from filing cases in New Jersey.
	23	And as Mr. Stone had said earlier, he was here
	24	because Stone and Magnanini, which was retained by Dr. Simring
00:04	25	to pursue just the fee litigation after the case was settled,

	1	we worked on the case for three years and our fee petition was
	2	not even before Judge Arleo, but it was presumptively denied
	3	that we would receive nothing.
	4	So we found that position initially to be both what
00:04	5	Citizens v. Overton Park said arbitrary and capricious. This
	6	cannot stand, it avoids Congress' intent, it's against Supreme
	7	Court precedent and against the precedent throughout the Third
	8	Circuit. And so when we were looking
	9	THE COURT: What do you think about you know, you
00:04	10	had both billing in certain instances where a whole bunch of
	11	tasks were raised under one entry on the bills, and then Judge
	12	Arleo seemed to try to figure out how do I deal with this
	13	because there was different rates for research work as opposed
	14	to regular work. And she spent a lot of time looking at the
00:05	15	case and trying to come up with a just decision.
	16	MR. MAGNANINI: I understand that, your Honor. In
	17	all of our dealings with Judge Arleo she's always been a
	18	thoughtful and intelligent judge, we just disagree with this.
	19	One thing is we do a lot of False Claims Act work at Stone and
00:05	20	Magnanini, we have maybe 40 False Claims Act, and we bill in
	21	block billing because it's allowed under the Third Circuit
	22	precedent, and it's allowed in the District under Judge
	23	Wigenton's NCH case. And even in Pennsylvania where we've
	24	done it, too.

00:05 **25**

And one of the other things despite beyond the

	1	dispute with the block billing, was the idea that different
	2	you should get rewarded different amounts per hour for
	3	different tasks. Mr. Litman and Mr. Furst both prosecuted
	4	this case, they only have each other in their respective
00:06	5	firms. There was no one Mr. Litman could get to do the
	6	research for him. And I can tell you at our small firm of
	7	nine lawyers, even though we have associates that do research,
	8	David and I as the partners read the research, we look at
	9	things ourself to make sure things get done.
00:06	10	And when you look at the Third Circuit precedent
	11	there isn't a distinction made for making you know, I think
	12	at one point Judge Arleo suggested that if you did ministerial
	13	tasks you'd only be awarded \$95 an hour. And again, for false
	14	claims practitioners, the most important things we do are
00:06	15	those ministerial tasks, we talk to the Government, we talk to
	16	the client.
	17	THE COURT: One other point I'd like you to address
	18	is, UMDNJ, the University of Dentistry and Medicine of New
	19	Jersey, one of the problems is, it's teetering on whether it
00:07	20	will continue in the sense that I think there's been a new
	21	legislative action where some of the work goes to Rutgers and
	22	maybe the hospital stands by itself. But as a result, how do
	23	you handle a defendant in this case who doesn't have that much
	24	financial capability. Can it be handled or thought about when
00:07	25	I decide this case?

	1	MR. MAGNANINI: Well your Honor, I think there's two						
	2	parts to that question. One is, as I was saying, my partner's						
	3	favorite analogy is the kid pleading the court for mercy in						
	4	murder for killing his parents because he's an orphan. The						
00:08	5	reason UMDMJ is in this position is they were committing fraud						
	6	and stealing money, not just from the federal government, but						
	7	from the state government as well. And then they settled that						
	8	case, and but as part of the settlement to deter people						
	9	from doing this in the future and to offset costs to the						
00:08	10	relator, is they have to pay the fees. And if you look at all						
	11 the Third Circuit fee dispute cases, ability to pay just							
	12	12 doesn't ever come into it, it's not a viable part of the						
	13	calculations.						
	14	THE COURT: So, if you get a judgment and they can't						
00:08	15	pay, you're up the creek.						
	16	MR. MAGNANINI: We would be, although what I've seen						
	17	actually in other False Claims Act cases, your Honor, is we						
	18	see actually where entities have claimed that they were going						
	19	to go under and something like that, we've seen deterred						
00:08	20	payment schedules.						
	21	Now, I'm not Mr. Litman's looking at me with						
	22	daggers probably, I don't want some five year note						
	23	THE COURT: He's not.						
	24	MR. MAGNANINI: on an eight year old case here.						
30:08	25	But that I've seen get put into effect when entities have said						

	1	they can't pay, but as a Court you're not allowed to consider					
	2	their financial position. The reason they have to pay is they					
	3	committed this fraud. And of course, we're in this position					
	4	too, which was had this case been wrapped up and had the fees					
00:09	5	been paid back in June of 2009, you would have had a lower					
	6	rate from Mr. Litman and Mr. Furst; you would have had no					
	7	costs incurred by Stone and Magnanini, we would have happily					
	8	gone on our way and worked on our other cases; and the fees					
	9	petition wouldn't be as large as it is.					
00:09	10	And the problem with this is, the longer the					
	11	litigation continues, the more fees we amass, and the more					
	12	costs UMDNJ					
	13	THE COURT: What if I grant your request on your					
	14	application of fees on fees on fees is what we've been					
00:09	15	referring it to, so if I do that how do I proceed on the case?					
	16	MR. MAGNANINI: I think what you'd have to do, your					
	17	Honor, is procedurally vacate the R & R, and then make a					
	18	finding on the actual amount					
	19	THE COURT: I'll figure that out that					
00:10	20	MR. MAGNANINI: of fees to be awarded.					
	21	THE COURT: Then what do I do, you're going to					
	22	submit					
	23	MR. MAGNANINI: Then I believe we would have to					
	24	submit an application for fees. And besides Stone and					
00:10	25	Magnanini, Mr. Litman and Mr. Furst have incurred fees					

	1	collecting their fees as well, your Honor. That's what I was					
	2	saying, that in some cases the Department of Justice tries to					
	3	get this all done at once. So the fee part gets settled along					
	4	with the regular qui tam case and then it's all done.					
00:10	5	THE COURT: Can I split this case in two and resolve					
	6	the fees under the main case, and then have a second					
	7 proceeding with regard to fees on fees?						
	8	MR. MAGNANINI: I believe you could do that, your					
	9	Honor, and I'd actually wouldn't object to that. That will					
00:10	10	get Mr. Simring's and his other lawyers paid sooner, that'd be					
	11	good.					
	12	THE COURT: Do you have any other points?					
	13	MR. MAGNANINI: No, your Honor. You've got our					
	14	papers, we've got I believe the Third Circuit and the					
00:11	15	Supreme Court precedent favors us on this one, and we'll					
	16	you know, as they said, if you have the law pound on the law,					
	17 I think we have that; if you have the facts pound on the						
	18	facts, I think we have that so I won't pound on the table.					
	19	THE COURT: Okay. That's great. But the problem					
00:11	20	you have is there's been a order that enters an award of					
	21	\$363,000, and Judge Arleo seems to have spent a significant					
	22	amount of time trying to come up with a reasonable amount in					
	23	light of the circumstances and the facts and the time spent by					
	24	the lawyers. So I don't know if the law helps you on that or					
00:11	25	whatever, you know.					

```
1
                          MR. MAGNANINI: I think the law does, your Honor.
            2
                When you go through the Third Circuit cases it talks about
             3
                specific entries that can be cut. And Judge Arleo focused on
             4
                specific entries when dealing with the legal research
                conducted by Mr. Litman and Mr. Furst. But then as you went
00:11
            5
             6
                through each of the other categories there weren't any
                specific entries cited, there were just of broad --
             8
                          THE COURT: The problem with Mr. Litman -- I forget
               his hourly rate, but --
             9
           10
00:12
                          MR. MAGNANINI: It's 825, your Honor.
           11
                          THE COURT: Right.
           12
                          MR. MAGNANINI: It was.
           13
                          THE COURT: It's hard to grant as reasonable $825 an
                hour for research is really the issue that people have.
           15
                          MR. MAGNANINI: Again, your Honor, the problem I
00:12
           16
                have -- and I'm not arguing on behalf of the solo and small
           17
                firm practice group of the bar, but when you only have one
           18
                person in the office -- and when you look at Mr. Litman's
           19
                other specific entries for research, they're not 10 hours, 20
00:12
           20
                hours, they're .5, they're .7, they're .4.
           21
                          THE COURT: I understand, I was just making a point.
           22
                          MR. MAGNANINI: I understand, your Honor.
           23
                          THE COURT: All right, thank you.
           24
                          Mr. Timpone.
           25
                          MR. TIMPONE: Thank you, Judge. Judge, Judge Arleo
00:12
```

	1	produced a comprehensive and thoughtful 34 page report. She
	2	gave them more than we asked her to give them and gave them
	3	less than they asked for. Importantly she supported her
	4	report at return.
00:13	5	Now, the plaintiff has assails the report as
	6	accepting a wildly, inaccurate and trivializing account of FCA
	7	advocacy. We're are assailed for causing delay by challenging
	8	fees of over a million dollars on a case that was never
	9	litigated; no depositions, no interrogatories, no motion
00:13	10	practice, no document discovery, no pro hac vice motion,
	11	Judge.
	12	And all of that is because when we entered into a
	13	deferred prosecution agreement with the government on the
	14	criminal side of the house, and admitted the double billing,
00:13	15	plaintiff only had to ride the government's coat-tails to
	16	recovery.
	17	THE COURT: But when you go through the bills, there
	18	was so many meetings they attended and the plaintiff's lawyers
	19	had spent significant time with the Department of Justice
00:14	20	trying to get through the case, giving the Department of
	21	Justice the facts that they had developed during the
	22	investigation, things of that matter, you know.
	23	MR. TIMPONE: Except, Judge, that the United States
	24	Attorney's Office did its own investigation, and they did a
00:14	25	criminal investigation and at the end of the day we agreed to

1 enter into a deferred prosecution agreement and we -- we 2 basically admitted to the fraud. So, a lot of the work was 3 done by that time. 4 And so, the role that we have taken is that -- that we are in a position of trying to -- to defend a teetering 00:14 5 university trying to stay afloat. And there is case law on this, Judge, and there's case law on this in this Circuit that talks about, you know, making sure that hospitals and 8 universities are not -- are not impacted by paying unwarranted 10 00:15 fees. 11 DOJ recognized that situation when it agreed to 12 forego it's traditional demand of treble damages in favor of 13 simply recovering the monies overbilled plus interest. So instead of a \$17 million demand, DOJ agreed to a \$4.4 million 14 15 settlement, with Dr. Simring receiving \$800,000. 00:15 16 That takes into account the fact that this is a public university, a public hospital, which is probably not 18 going to be in existence for much longer. And the reason that 19 it's not going to be in existence much longer has nothing to do with this case and the deferred prosecution agreement. 00:15 20 21 is a lot to do with the fact that UMDNJ exists to serve a 22 community in Newark in Essex County, that is -- that is 23 unrepresented by insureds, and is filled with charity care. mean just filled with charity care that the university 24

25

00:16

provides without receiving sufficient remuneration.

1 And that's not a discussion for us today, but it is 2 an example of some of the things that have gone on with 3 UMDNJ --4 THE COURT: In your brief, Mr. Timpone, did you refer to a case or cite a case that says the Court can 00:16 5 consider the financial status? 6 7 MR. TIMPONE: Yes, Judge, it's the West Chester 8 University of Pennsylvania case, and I can grab you the cite. 9 THE COURT: I got it. Thank you. And what do you 10 think about the fee on fee case? It seems to me that there's 00:16 11 been a dispute all along about the fees of the plaintiff, and 12 Stone and Magnanini has spent a lot of time trying to come up 13 with a reasonable value on the fees of plaintiffs. What's 14 your viewpoint on that? 15 00:17 MR. TIMPONE: I'm happy to take a look at their 16 application and to make a determination from that point, 17 Judge. 18 THE COURT: All right. So if I allow it and there 19 is an application you'd reviewed their application? All 20 00:17 right. 21 MR. TIMPONE: And then we can meet again. 22 THE COURT: All right. Any other issues that you **23** have? 24 MR. TIMPONE: No, Judge, just that we'll rely on our 25 briefs and thank you for your time this morning. 00:17

```
1
                          THE COURT: All right, thank you.
            2
                          So, I'll review the brief once more, as well as the
            3
               report and recommendation, and I'll make some findings with
               regard to it, and a new schedule. I should say that we did
             4
               attempt to settle -- or I tried to settle, but the parties are
00:17
            5
               very far apart. So, we'll just continue with the report and
             7
               recommendation phase.
             8
                          So if you give me like three or four weeks I should
             9
               have an answer.
           10
00:17
                          (Counsel say thank you.)
           11
                          THE COURT: All right. Thank you for coming.
           12
                          THE DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
           13
                         (Matter concluded.)
           14
           15
           16
           17
           18
           19
           20
           21
           22
           23
           24
           25
```

\$	8	Arleo [9] - 3:19, 5:14, — 6:2, 6:12, 6:17, 7:12,	8:8, 8:24, 9:4, 9:15, 10:4, 10:5, 10:6,	9:12 Counsel [1] - 15:10
\$17 _[1] - 13:14 \$363,000 _[1] - 10:21 \$800,000 _[1] - 13:15 \$825 _[1] - 11:13	825 [1] - 11:10 856 [1] - 1:25 889-4761 [1] - 1:25	10:21, 11:3, 11:25 Arleo's [1] - 5:17 arm [1] - 4:19 assailed [1] - 12:7 assails [1] - 12:5	12:8, 12:20, 13:6, 13:7, 13:20, 14:5, 14:8, 14:10 cases [6] - 5:22, 8:11, 8:17, 9:8, 10:2, 11:2	County [1] - 13:22 course [1] - 9:3 court [1] - 8:3 COURT [31] - 1:1, 1:15, 3:1, 3:8, 3:13,
\$95 [1] - 7:13	9	Associates [1] - 3:2	categories [1] - 11:6	3:17, 3:22, 3:24,
	9(b [1] - 5:9	ASSOCIATES [1] - 1:7 associates [1] - 7:7 attempt [1] - 15:5	causing [1] - 12:7 certain [1] - 6:10 Certified [1] - 1:23	5:13, 6:9, 7:17, 8:14, 8:23, 9:13, 9:19, 9:21, 10:5, 10:12,
/ S [1] - 1:24	A	attended [1] - 12:18 Attorney [1] - 4:23	challenging [1] - 12:7 changed [1] - 4:12	10:19, 11:8, 11:11, 11:13, 11:21, 11:23,
0	ability _[1] - 8:11	attorney [1] - 3:7 Attorney's [2] - 5:1,	charity [2] - 13:23, 13:24	12:17, 14:4, 14:9, 14:18, 14:22, 15:1, 15:11
04-3530 [1] - 1:6 08608 [1] - 1:12	accepting [1] - 12:6 account [2] - 12:6, 13:16 Act [4] - 4:5, 6:19,	12:24 attorneys [1] - 5:19 avoids [1] - 6:6 award [1] - 10:20	CHASE [1] - 2:5 Chase [1] - 3:5 Chester [1] - 14:7 Chris [1] - 3:15	Court [4] - 6:7, 9:1, 10:15, 14:5 COURTHOUSE [1] -
1	6:20, 8:17 — action [2] - 4:14, 7:21	awarded [2] - 7:13, 9:20	Circuit [7] - 6:8, 6:21, 7:10, 8:11, 10:14,	1:11 creek [1] - 8:15
10 [2] - 3:25, 11:19 11th [2] - 4:17, 5:9	actual [1] - 9:18 address [1] - 7:17 admitted [2] - 12:14,	В	_ 11:2, 13:7 circumstances [1] - – 10:23	criminal [3] - 4:25, 12:14, 12:25 cut [1] - 11:3
2	13:2 advocacy [2] - 5:7, 12:7	bar [1] - 11:17 basic [1] - 4:3	cite [2] - 14:5, 14:8 cited [1] - 11:7 Citizens [1] - 6:5	D
20 [1] - 11:19 2004 [2] - 4:8, 4:13 2005 [3] - 3:7, 4:16, 5:5 2009 [2] - 5:6, 9:5 2012 [1] - 1:10 28 [1] - 1:23	afloat [1] - 13:6 afternoon [2] - 3:8, 3:17 agency [1] - 4:19 agree [1] - 5:16 agreed [3] - 12:25, 13:11, 13:14 agreement [4] - 4:24, 12:13, 13:1, 13:20	behalf [3] - 3:15, 5:8, 11:16 beyond [1] - 6:25 bill [1] - 6:20 billing [5] - 4:9, 6:10, 6:21, 7:1, 12:14 bills [2] - 6:11, 12:17 block [2] - 6:21, 7:1 bought [1] - 4:8	CIVIL [1] - 1:6 claimed [1] - 8:18 claims [1] - 7:14 Claims [4] - 4:5, 6:19, 6:20, 8:17 CLARKSON [1] - 1:11 CLERK [1] - 15:12 client [1] - 7:16 coat [1] - 12:15	daggers [1] - 8:22 damages [1] - 13:12 DAVID [2] - 2:5, 2:5 David [3] - 3:5, 7:8 DC [1] - 5:4 deal [1] - 6:12 dealing [1] - 11:4 dealings [1] - 6:17 decide [1] - 7:25
34 _[1] - 12:1 3730(d)(1 _[1] - 4:6	<pre> al [1] - 1:7 allow [1] - 14:18 allowed [3] - 6:21, 6:22, 9:1 amass [1] - 9:11 amended [2] - 4:21,</pre>	brief [2] - 14:4, 15:2 briefs [1] - 14:25 broad [1] - 11:7 brought [1] - 4:16 bunch [1] - 6:10 BURFITT [1] - 2:8	coat-tails [1] - 12:15 collecting [1] - 10:1 coming [1] - 15:11 committed [1] - 9:3 committing [1] - 8:5 community [1] - 13:22	decision [1] - 6:15 defend [1] - 13:5 defendant [1] - 7:23 DEFENDANT [1] - 2:9 DEFENDANTS [1] -
4 _[1] - 11:20 4.4 _[1] - 13:14	5:8 Amendment [2] - 4:17, 5:10	Burfitt [1] - 3:15 BY [2] - 2:4, 2:8	complaint [2] - 4:22, 5:8 — comprehensive [1] -	1:8 deferred [4] - 4:24, 12:13, 13:1, 13:20 delay [2] - 5:21, 12:7
40 [1] - 6:20 402 [1] - 1:11	AMERICA [1] - 1:4 amount [3] - 9:18, 10:22	C.S.R _[1] - 1:24	12:1 concluded [1] - 15:13 conducted [1] - 11:5	demand [2] - 13:12, 13:14 denied [1] - 6:2
5	amounts [1] - 7:2 analogy [1] - 8:3	calculations [1] - 8:13 cannot [1] - 6:6	Congress' [1] - 6:6 Congress's [1] - 5:20	Dentistry [1] - 7:18 Department [4] - 5:3,
5 [1] - 11:20	answer [1] - 15:9 apart [1] - 15:6 appearances [1] - 3:2	capability [1] - 7:24 capricious [1] - 6:5	consider [2] - 9:1, 14:6 context [1] - 4:5	10:2, 12:19, 12:20 depositions [1] - 12:9
7	application [5] - 9:14,	care [2] - 13:23, 13:24 CARPENTER [1] - 2:7	continue [2] - 7:20,	DEPUTY [1] - 15:12 despite [1] - 6:25
7 [2] - 1:10, 11:20 753 [1] - 1:23	— 9:24, 14:16, 14:19 arbitrary [1] - 6:5 argue [1] - 3:20 arguing [1] - 11:16 argument [1] - 3:11	Carpenter [1] - 3:15 case [30] - 4:18, 5:2, 5:5, 5:9, 5:10, 5:11, 5:16, 5:25, 6:1, 6:15, 6:23, 7:4, 7:23, 7:25,	15:6 continues [1] - 9:11 convince [1] - 5:10 correct [1] - 1:23 costs [3] - 8:9, 9:7,	deter [1] - 8:8 determination [1] - 14:16 deterred [1] - 8:19 deterring [1] - 5:21

DEUTSCH [1] - 2:7 Deutsch [1] - 3:15 developed [1] - 12:21 different [4] - 6:13, 7:1, 7:2, 7:3 disagree [1] - 6:18 discovery [1] - 12:10 discussion [1] - 14:1 dispute [4] - 4:7, 7:1, 8:11, 14:11 distinction [1] - 7:11 District [2] - 4:23, 6:22	facts [4] - 10:17, 10:18, 10:23, 12:21 failed [1] - 4:13 false [4] - 7:13 False [4] - 4:5, 6:19, 6:20, 8:17 far [1] - 15:6 favor [1] - 13:12 favorite [1] - 8:3 favors [1] - 10:15 FCA [1] - 12:6 federal [1] - 8:6	4:17, 5:11, 8:6, 8:7, 12:13 Government [2] - 4:22, 7:15 government's [1] - 12:15 grab [1] - 14:8 grant [2] - 9:13, 11:13 great [1] - 10:19 group [1] - 11:17 guess [2] - 4:2, 4:15	intent [2] - 5:20, 6:6 interest [1] - 13:13 interrogatories [1] - 12:9 intervene [1] - 5:11 investigation [4] - 4:25, 12:22, 12:24, 12:25 issue [3] - 3:12, 4:18, 11:14 issues [1] - 14:22 itself [1] - 7:22	4:21, 5:4, 7:3, 7:5, 9:6, 9:25, 11:5, 11:8 Litman's [2] - 8:21, 11:18 LLP [2] - 2:4, 2:7 look [5] - 7:8, 7:10, 8:10, 11:18, 14:15 looking [3] - 6:8, 6:14, 8:21 lower [1] - 9:5
DISTRICT [4] - 1:1,	fee [7] - 5:20, 5:25,	Н		_
1:1, 1:15, 1:16	6:1, 8:11, 10:3,		- J	MAGNANINI [21] - 2:4,
document [1] - 12:10	14:10	hac [1] - 12:10	-	2:4, 3:4, 3:21, 3:23,
DOJ [2] - 13:11, 13:14 dollars [1] - 12:8 done [5] - 6:24, 7:9, 10:3, 10:4, 13:3 double [2] - 4:9, 12:14 Dr [7] - 3:6, 3:7, 4:7, 4:11, 4:16, 5:24, 13:15 during [1] - 12:21	fees [23] - 3:10, 4:4, 4:6, 5:17, 5:19, 8:10, 9:4, 9:8, 9:11, 9:14, 9:20, 9:24, 9:25, 10:1, 10:6, 10:7, 12:8, 13:10, 14:11, 14:13 FEES [1] - 1:20 fight [1] - 5:7 fighting [1] - 4:4	handle [2] - 4:17, 7:23 handled [2] - 5:3, 7:24 happily [1] - 9:7 happy [1] - 14:15 hard [1] - 11:13 HARRY [1] - 2:10 Harry [1] - 3:7 HEARING [1] - 1:20 helps [1] - 10:24	JANUARY [1] - 1:10 Jersey [4] - 4:23, 5:2, 5:22, 7:19 JERSEY [3] - 1:1, 1:12, 1:16 Judge [20] - 3:9, 3:18, 5:14, 5:17, 6:2, 6:11, 6:17, 6:22, 7:12, 10:21, 11:3, 11:25,	4:1, 5:15, 6:16, 8:1, 8:16, 8:24, 9:16, 9:20, 9:23, 10:8, 10:13, 11:1, 11:10, 11:12, 11:15, 11:22 Magnanini [9] - 3:4, 3:6, 3:11, 3:20, 5:24, 6:20, 9:7, 9:25, 14:12
E	figure [2] - 6:12, 9:19	high [1] - 4:2	12:11, 12:23, 13:7,	main [2] - 5:3, 10:6
EAST [1] - 1:11 effect [1] - 8:25 eight [1] - 8:24 end [1] - 12:25 ended [2] - 4:23, 4:25 enter [1] - 13:1 entered [1] - 12:12 enters [1] - 10:20 entire [1] - 5:2 entities [2] - 8:18, 8:25 entitled [1] - 5:17 entries [4] - 11:3, 11:4, 11:7, 11:19 entry [1] - 6:11 Especially [1] - 4:5	file [1] - 4:13 filed [2] - 3:19, 4:22 filing [1] - 5:22 filled [2] - 13:23, 13:24 financial [3] - 7:24, 9:2, 14:6 findings [1] - 15:3 firm [3] - 3:5, 7:6, 11:17 firms [1] - 7:5 FISHER [1] - 1:11 five [1] - 8:22 focus [1] - 5:13 focused [1] - 11:3 FOR [3] - 1:20, 2:6, 2:9 forego [1] - 13:12 forget [1] - 11:8	hit [1] - 4:1 Honor [19] - 3:4, 3:12, 3:14, 3:21, 3:23, 4:1, 4:2, 5:16, 6:16, 8:1, 8:17, 9:17, 10:1, 10:9, 10:13, 11:1, 11:10, 11:15, 11:22 HONORABLE [1] - 1:15 hospital [2] - 7:22, 13:17 hospitals [1] - 13:8 hour [3] - 7:2, 7:13, 11:14 hourly [1] - 11:9 hours [2] - 11:19, 11:20 house [1] - 12:14	14:7, 14:17, 14:24 judge [1] - 6:18 JUDGE [1] - 1:15 judgment [1] - 8:14 July [1] - 4:15 June [2] - 5:6, 9:5 justice [1] - 5:3 Justice [4] - 5:3, 10:2, 12:19, 12:21 K kid [1] - 8:3 killing [1] - 8:4 KRISTOFFER [1] - 2:8	matter [3] - 3:1, 5:2, 12:22 Matter [1] - 15:13 McElroy [2] - 2:7, 3:14 mean [1] - 13:24 Medicine [1] - 7:18 meet [1] - 14:21 meetings [1] - 12:18 mercy [1] - 8:3 methodology [1] - 4:12 million [3] - 12:8, 13:14 ministerial [2] - 7:12, 7:15 minutes [1] - 3:25 money [1] - 8:6 monies [1] - 13:13
ESQUIRE [6] - 2:4,	four [2] - 4:11, 15:8		_	morning [1] - 14:25
2:5, 2:5, 2:8, 2:8, 2:10 Essex [1] - 13:22 et [1] - 1:7 ex [1] - 1:4 example [1] - 14:2 Except [1] - 12:23 existence [2] - 13:18, 13:19	Francis [1] - 1:24 FRANCIS [1] - 1:24 fraud [5] - 4:10, 4:12, 8:5, 9:3, 13:2 Furst [7] - 4:13, 4:21, 5:4, 7:3, 9:6, 9:25, 11:5 future [1] - 8:9	idea [1] - 7:1 immune [1] - 4:20 impacted [1] - 13:9 important [1] - 7:14 Importantly [1] - 12:3 inaccurate [1] - 12:6 - incurred [2] - 9:7, 9:25	large [1] - 9:9 law [6] - 10:16, 10:24, 11:1, 13:6, 13:7 lawyers [5] - 4:4, 7:7, 10:10, 10:24, 12:18 least [1] - 4:11 legal [1] - 11:4 legislative [1] - 7:21 less [1] - 12:3	most [1] - 7:14 MOTION [1] - 1:20 motion [3] - 5:9, 12:9, 12:10 move [1] - 3:25 MR [27] - 3:4, 3:9, 3:14, 3:21, 3:23, 4:1, 5:15, 6:16, 8:1, 8:16, 8:24, 9:16, 9:20,
exists [1] - 13:21	G	instances [1] - 6:10	light [1] - 10:23	9:23, 10:8, 10:13, 11:1, 11:10, 11:12,
F		instead [1] - 13:14	litigated [2] - 5:4, 12:9 litigation [2] - 5:25,	11:15, 11:22, 11:25,
fact [2] - 13:16, 13:21	- Gable [1] - 1:24 GABLE [1] - 1:24 government [6] - 4:9,	insureds [1] - 13:23 intelligent [1] - 6:18 intend [1] - 3:11	9:11 LITMAN [2] - 2:10, 3:9 Litman [10] - 3:7, 4:16,	12:23, 14:7, 14:15, 14:21, 14:24 MULVANEY [1] - 2:7

stands [1] - 7:22 Mulvaney [1] - 3:15 partner's [1] - 8:2 produced [1] - 12:1 7:6, 7:7, 7:8, 11:4, murder [1] - 8:4 partners [1] - 7:8 prosecuted [1] - 7:3 11:14, 11:19 start [2] - 3:3, 4:2 resolve [1] - 10:5 state [3] - 4:19, 4:20, parts [1] - 8:2 prosecution [4] respective [1] - 7:4 N party [2] - 4:6, 4:8 4:24, 12:13, 13:1, 8:7 13:20 result [1] - 7:22 **STATE** [1] - 1:11 party's [1] - 5:19 provides [2] - 4:6, retained [2] - 4:13, pay [5] - 8:10, 8:11, States [1] - 12:23 NCH [1] - 6:23 13:25 5:24 **STATES** [2] - 1:1, 1:4 8:15, 9:1, 9:2 negotiating [1] - 4:24 paying [1] - 13:9 public [2] - 13:17 return [1] - 12:4 status [1] - 14:6 negotiations [1] - 4:17 purpose [1] - 3:10 review [1] - 15:2 payment [1] - 8:20 statutes [1] - 5:21 never [1] - 12:8 Pennsylvania [2] purposes [1] - 5:20 reviewed [1] - 14:19 stay [1] - 13:6 **NEW** [3] - 1:1, 1:12, 6:23, 14:8 pursue [1] - 5:25 rewarded [1] - 7:2 stealing [1] - 8:6 1:16 put [1] - 8:25 rewarding [1] - 5:21 **STEVEN** [1] - 1:4 people [3] - 5:21, 8:8, new [2] - 7:20, 15:4 11:14 ride [1] - 12:15 Stevens [2] - 4:18, 5:9 New [4] - 4:23, 5:1, per [1] - 7:2 Q rise [1] - 15:12 **STONE** [2] - 2:4, 2:5 5:22, 7:18 person [1] - 11:18 **ROBERT** [1] - 2:4 Stone [10] - 3:5, 3:11, Newark [1] - 13:22 **PETER** [1] - 1:15 Robert [1] - 3:4 3:19, 5:23, 5:24, nine [1] - 7:7 quasi [1] - 4:19 petition [2] - 6:1, 9:9 role [1] - 13:4 6:19, 9:7, 9:24, **NO**[1] - 1:6 qui [4] - 4:8, 4:13, PGS [1] - 1:6 Rutgers [1] - 7:21 14:12 4:15. 10:4 note [1] - 8:22 phase [1] - 15:7 stopped [1] - 4:12 nothing [2] - 6:3, Physician [1] - 3:2 S STREET [1] - 1:11 13:19 R **PHYSICIAN** [1] - 1:7 submit [2] - 9:22, 9:24 **PLAINTIFF** [1] - 2:6 **sued** [1] - 4:19 0 schedule [1] - 15:4 **sufficient** [1] - 13:25 plaintiff [4] - 3:6, 12:5, R.M.R [1] - 1:24 schedules [1] - 8:20 12:15, 14:11 **suggested** [1] - 7:12 raised [1] - 6:11 second [1] - 10:6 plaintiff's [1] - 12:18 suit [1] - 4:20 object [1] - 10:9 rate [2] - 9:6, 11:9 Section [2] - 1:23, 4:5 plaintiffs [2] - 3:3, supervisors [1] - 4:11 **objections** [1] - 3:19 rates [1] - 6:13 see [1] - 8:18 14:13 supported [1] - 12:3 odd [1] - 5:16 read [1] - 7:8 sense [1] - 7:20 **PLAINTIFFS** [1] - 1:5 Supreme [2] - 6:6, **OF** [3] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:16 really [1] - 11:14 serve [1] - 13:21 pleading [1] - 8:3 10:15 office [1] - 11:18 reason [3] - 8:5, 9:2, services [1] - 4:10 survive [1] - 5:8 **plus** [1] - 13:13 13:18 Office [2] - 5:1, 12:24 settle [3] - 5:11, 15:5 point [6] - 4:16, 5:1, OFFICIAL [1] - 1:25 reasonable [3] settled [3] - 5:25, 8:7, Т 7:12, 7:17, 11:21, 10:22, 11:13, 14:13 offset [1] - 8:9 10:3 14:16 receive [1] - 6:3 old [1] - 8:24 settlement [3] - 5:5, points [2] - 4:2, 10:12 receiving [2] - 13:15, **ON** [1] - 1:20 8:8, 13:15 table [1] - 10:18 pose [1] - 5:10 13:25 once [2] - 10:3, 15:2 **SHERIDAN** [1] - 1:15 tails [1] - 12:15 position [5] - 6:4, 8:5, recognized [1] - 13:11 one [7] - 6:11, 6:25, talks [2] - 11:2, 13:8 **shifting** [1] - 5:21 9:2, 9:3, 13:5 recommendation [3] -7:5, 7:12, 7:19, side [1] - 12:14 tam [4] - 4:8, 4:14, pound [3] - 10:16, 10:15, 11:17 3:18, 15:3, 15:7 4:15, 10:4 significant [2] - 10:21, 10:17, 10:18 recovering [1] - 13:13 One [3] - 6:19, 7:17, 12:19 tasks [4] - 6:11, 7:3, practice [2] - 11:17, 8:2 recovery [1] - 12:16 simply [1] - 13:13 7:13, 7:15 12:10 opposed [1] - 6:13 recused [1] - 5:2 teetering [2] - 7:19, **Simring** [7] - 3:1, 3:6, practitioners [1] reduce [1] - 5:19 order [1] - 10:20 4:7, 4:11, 4:16, 5:24, 13:5 7:14 refer [1] - 14:5 orphan [1] - 8:4 that'd [1] - 10:10 13:15 precedent [5] - 6:7, referring [1] - 9:15 ourself [1] - 7:9 **SIMRING** [1] - 1:4 Third [6] - 6:7, 6:21, 6:22, 7:10, 10:15 regard [2] - 10:7, 15:4 overbilled [1] - 13:13 Simring's~[2]~-~3:7,7:10, 8:11, 10:14, present [1] - 3:11 Overton [1] - 6:5 regular [2] - 6:14, 10:4 10.10 11:2 presented [1] - 4:21 rel [1] - 1:4 own [1] - 12:24 thoughtful [2] - 6:18, situation [1] - 13:11 presumptively [1] relating [1] - 3:10 **small** [2] - 7:6, 11:16 12:1 P relator [1] - 8:10 threat [1] - 5:10 solo [1] - 11:16 prevailing [3] - 4:6, rely [1] - 14:24 sooner [1] - 10:10 three [2] - 6:1, 15:8 4:7, 5:19 remuneration [1] sort [1] - 5:9 throughout [1] - 6:7 page [1] - 12:1 pro [1] - 12:10 13:25 specific [4] - 11:3, **TIMPONE** [8] - 2:8, problem [4] - 9:10, paid [2] - 9:5, 10:10 report [6] - 3:18, 12:1, 3:14, 11:25, 12:23, 11:4, 11:7, 11:19 papers [1] - 10:14 10:19, 11:8, 11:15 12:4, 12:5, 15:3, 14:7, 14:15, 14:21, spend [1] - 3:24 parents [1] - 8:4 problems [1] - 7:19 15:6 14:24 spent [5] - 6:14, Park [1] - 6:5 procedurally [1] - 9:17 **REPORTER** [1] - 1:25 Timpone [3] - 3:14, 10:21, 10:23, 12:19, part [4] - 4:25, 8:8, proceed [1] - 9:15 request [1] - 9:13 11:24, 14:4 14:12 8:12, 10:3 proceeded [1] - 4:15 required [1] - 1:23 Title [1] - 1:23 split [1] - 10:5

research [7] - 6:13,

stand [1] - 6:6

today [1] - 14:1

parties [2] - 5:16, 15:5

proceeding [1] - 10:7

wants [1] - 3:12 Washington [1] - 5:4 weeks [1] - 15:8 West [1] - 14:7 whole [1] - 6:10 Wigenton's [1] - 6:23 wildly [1] - 12:6 wish [1] - 3:20 wrapped [1] - 9:4

Υ

year [2] - 8:22, 8:24 years [2] - 4:11, 6:1

U

U.S [4] - 1:15, 1:25, 4:23, 5:1 U.S.C [1] - 1:23 UMDMJ [2] - 4:8, 8:5 UMDNJ [8] - 3:16, 4:18, 4:24, 5:8, 7:18, 9:12, 13:21, 14:3 under [6] - 4:18, 6:11, 6:21, 6:22, 8:19, 10:6 undercuts [1] - 5:20 United [1] - 12:23 **UNITED** [2] - 1:1, 1:4 universities [1] - 13:9 UNIVERSITY [1] - 1:7 university [3] - 13:6, 13:17, 13:24 **University** [3] - 3:2, 7:18, 14:8 unless [1] - 3:12 unrepresented [1] -13:23 unwarranted [1] -13:9 up [6] - 4:23, 6:15, 8:15, 9:4, 10:22,

V

14:12

vacate [1] - 9:17 value [1] - 14:13 versus [1] - 3:1 viable [1] - 8:12 vice [1] - 12:10 viewpoint [1] - 14:14 Vs [1] - 1:6

W

WALTER [1] - 2:8 **Walter** [1] - 3:14